

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/875,458	06/05/2001	Craig F. Culver	IMM059A	6909	
34300	7590 01/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER .	
PATENT DEPARTMENT (51851)			WU, XIA	WU, XIAO MIN	
KILPATRIC	K STOCKTON LLP				
1001 WEST FOURTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27101			2674		

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/875,458	CULVER, CRAIG F	F.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		XIAO M. WU	2674			
Period fo	, -					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ration. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6 by statute, cause the application to become	SUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this continue ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	-		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>30 November 2005</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	\boxtimes This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice t	under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>23-34,58-72 and 74-79</u> is/are	pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v		٦.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 23-34,58-72 and 74-79 is/are r	rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requiremen	ıt.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	vaminer				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		d to by the Examiner			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			₹ 1 121(d)		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by					
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreian priority under 35 U.S	5 C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ioroign phonty under 60 O.C	.o. 3 110(a)-(a) of (i).			
,-	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received	1.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			Stage		
	application from the International			· ·		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies	not received.			
Attachment		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	4) Inter	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)		
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Othe	ri			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/30/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 23-27, 31, 33, 59-65, 67-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuenzner et al. (US Patent No. 5,956,016).

As to claim 23, 59, 67, 68, 70, Kuenzner discloses an apparatus (Fig. 1) comprising: a manipulandum (5, 9) moveable in at least one degree of freedom; a sensor (13, Fig. 1, also see col. 2, lines 56-57) operable to detect a position of the manipulandum and a deviation of the manipulandum from the position and output a first sensor signal associated with the deviation of the manipulandum form the position; an first actuator (13, Fig. 1, also see col. 2, lines 58-60)

operable to provide tactile feedback to the manipulandum associated with the first sensor signal; a second actuator (col. 3, lines 6-13) operable to provide tactile feedback to the manipulandum in a second of the at least two degrees of freedom, the tactile feedback associated with the first sensor signal; and a first processor (not shown, see col. 2, lines 60-67) operable to control the first and second actuators and to receive the first sensor signal from the sensor.

As to claim 24, Kuenzner discloses the manipulandum comprises a roller (Fig. 22, Fig. 6).

As to claim 25, Kuenzner discloses that the roller (22) communicates an electrical signal output to the first processor (not shown, see col. 3, lines 53-67).

As to claims 26, 27, Kuenzner discloses that the roller (22) is moveable in two degrees of freedom (col. 2, lines 53-56).

As to claim 31, Kuenzner discloses the processor included in a computer (see Fig. 7). As to claim 33, Kuenzner discloses that the device is an electronic-device.

As to claims 60-65, 69, Kuenzner discloses a position control mapping mode and to +control a rate of change of the value in a rate control mapping mode. For example, Kuenzner discloses that the electric motor 13 can be controlled by position detection, so that the motor, in a central motion area of pusher 9, in other words far from the stop provided by frame 7, applies a torque to toothed belt 12 and hence to pusher 9 that is directed opposite to the frictional torque produced by friction of the elements moved by the pusher 9 such as guide 6' and toothed belt 12. when pusher move toward frame 7, instead of a reinforcing torque, a torque can be applied that reinforces the action of these frictional torques by its own action. The operator then receives

additional tactile feedback indicating the position of pusher within the entire movement range defined by the two stops on frame 7 (see col. 2, line 52 to col. 3, line 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuenzner et al. (US Patent No. 5,956,016) in view of Stobbs (US Patent No. 5,631,669).

As to claim 29, it is noted that Kuenzner does not discloses a microphone. Stobbs is cited to teach an input device similar to Rosenberg. Stobbs discloses a microphone within the input device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Kuenzner with the features of the microphone as taught by Stobbs so as to input a voice command to the computer.

6. Claims 28, 30, 32, 34, 58, 66, 71-72, 74-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuenzner et al. (US Patent No. 5,956,016) in view of Dunaway (US Patent No. 5,450,079).

As to claims 34, 66, 71, note the discussion of Kuenzner above. Kuenzner does not disclose that the device is a remote device in communication with a second processor. Dunaway is cited to teach a remote input device for controlling the menu or the screen which comprises a second processor (72). The second processor is a host computer which controls the first processor (e.g. the local processor 62) similar to applicant. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art to have modified Kuenzner with the features of the remote input device as taught by Dunaway because Dunaway provide an input device can be operable in a free space.

As to claim 72, Kuenzner discloses that the roller (22) is moveable in two degrees of freedom (col. 2, lines 53-56).

As to claims 74-79, Kuenzner discloses a position control mapping mode and to control a rate of change of the value in a rate control mapping mode. For example, Kuenzner discloses that the electric motor 13 can be controlled by position detection, so that the motor, in a central motion area of pusher 9, in other words far from the stop provided by frame 7, applies a torque to toothed belt 12 and hence to pusher 9 that is directed opposite to the frictional torque produced by friction of the elements moved by the pusher 9 such as guide 6' and toothed belt 12. When pusher move toward frame 7, instead of a reinforcing torque, a torque can be applied that reinforces the action of these frictional torques by its own action. The operator then receives additional tactile feedback indicating the position of pusher within the entire movement range defined by the two stops on frame 7 (see col. 2, line 52 to col. 3, line 5).

As to claims 28, 58, Dunaway discloses the input device including a local display screen with touch panel

As to claim 30, Kuenzner's cursor control device could be used for playing game on the screen.

As to claim 32, it would have been obvious to include a Web-access device for Kuenzner since the Web-access can provide information to the user such as checking email, or searching.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 11/30/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the 102 and 103 rejections are moot because the newly added limitations of "a second actuator (col. 3, lines 6-13) operable to provide tactile feedback to the manipulandum in a second of the at least two degrees of freedom, the tactile feedback associated with the first sensor signal" as recited in independent claims 23 and 71. These arguments are not persuasive because Kuenzner further discloses a second actuator for providing a tactile feedback in a second direction movement as the same way in the first actuator (see col. 3, lines 6—13). Thus, the newly amended claims are still met by Kuenzner alone or in combination with Dunaway.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to XIAO M. WU whose telephone number is 571-272-7761. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, PATRICK EDOUARD, can be reached on 571-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

x.w.

January 4, 2006

XIAO M. WU Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2674